

# Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene

Volume 5 Number 3 March 2013

ISSN 2006-9871



*Academic  
Journals*

# ABOUT JDOH

The **Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene (JDOH)** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

**Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene (JDOH)** is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as endodontics, prosthodontics, Oral Pathology, dento-maxillofacial Radiology, periodontics etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JDOH are peer-reviewed.

## Submission of Manuscript

Submit manuscripts as e-mail attachment to the Editorial Office at: [jdoh@academicjournals.org](mailto:jdoh@academicjournals.org). A manuscript number will be mailed to the corresponding author shortly after submission.

The Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene will only accept manuscripts submitted as e-mail attachments.

Please read the **Instructions for Authors** before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author.

## Editors

### **Dr. Denise Evans**

*University of Johannesburg  
4 Vlei street, Southcrest Alberton, 1449 Gauteng  
South Africa.*

### **Prof. Azza A El-Housseiny**

*Faculty of Dentistry, Alexandria university  
1 Shamplion St, Massarita, Alexandria.  
Egypt .*

### **Dr. Fawad Javed**

*Karolinska Institutet  
Box 4064, SE 14104 Huddinge. Stockholm.  
Sweden*

### **Dr. Muneer Gohar Babar**

*International Medical University  
No. 126, Jalan 19/155B, Bukit Jalil, 57000 Kuala  
Lumpur,  
Malaysia.*

### **Dr. Janine Owens**

*University of Sheffield, Department of Oral Health  
and Development  
Claremont Crescent, Sheffield S10 2TU  
United Kingdom*

### **Dr. Satyabodh S.Guttal**

*SDM College of Dental Sciences and Hospital  
Sattur, Dharwad  
India .*

### **Prof. E.J. Sauvetre**

*Université Libre de Bruxelles (Faculty of Medicine)  
10 rue bavastro 06300 Nice France  
France.*

### **Dr. Olfat Shaker**

*Faculty of Medicine Cairo University  
Department of Medical Biochemistry,  
Egypt .*

### **Prof. Ayyaz Ali Khan**

*Riphah International University  
IIDC&H, Off 7th Ave., G-7/4, Islamabad,  
Pakistan.*

### **Dr. Pei-Yi Chu**

*Diagnostic and research pathologist,  
Department of Surgical Pathology,  
Changhua Christian Hospital/  
135 Nan-Shiao Street, Changhua 500-06,  
Taiwan.*

### **Dr. Abeer Gawish**

*Al-Azhar University Faculty Of Dental Medicine  
4 elsheikh Makhlof Street. Misr Elgedida, Cairo  
Egypt.*

### **Dr. Murali Srinivasan**

*Jebel Ali Hospital  
PO Box 49207, Dubai,  
UAE .*

### **Dr. Scardina Giuseppe Alessandro**

*University of Palermo Department of Oral Sciences  
"G. Messina"  
Via del Vespro, 129 90127 Palermo  
Italy.*

### **Prof. Hussam M. Abdel-Kader**

*Faculty of Dental Medicine, AlAzhar University, Cairo,  
Egypt Madent Nasr 11884, Cairo,  
Egypt.*

### **Dr. Mahmoud K. ALiri,**

*BD-OmS, PhD, FDS RCS (England),  
Jordanian Board, DCE (Ireland).  
Associate Professor and Consultant  
Faculty of Dentistry, The University of Jordan,  
P.O. Box 710193, Amman 11171,  
Jordan .*

### **Dr. Imtiaz Wani**

*S.M.H.S Hospital  
Srinagar, Kashmir,  
India.*

## Editorial Board

**Dr. Santhosh Kumar**

*Darshan Dental College and Hospital  
Udaipur,  
India .*

**Prof. Sharon Struminger**

*2350 Broadhollow Road Farmingdale, NY 11735/  
Farmingdale State College of State  
University of New York  
USA.*

**Dr. Saurab Bither**

*Christain Dental College  
C.M.C, Ludhiana. Punjab.  
India.*

**Dr. Ramesh Chowdhary**

*HKE's S.Nijalingappa Institute of Dental Sciences and  
Research  
Ring Road, Gulbarga-585104,  
India.*

# Instructions for Author

---

**Electronic submission** of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

## Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

**Regular articles:** These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

**Short Communications:** A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

**Reviews:** Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

## Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the JDOH to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

## Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed double-spaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

**The Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

**The Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length.. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

**The Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

**Materials and methods** should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

**Results** should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

**The Discussion** should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

**The Acknowledgments** of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

**Tables** should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

**Figure legends** should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

**References:** In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998;

1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001)  
References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 7: 3535-3539.

Moran GJ, Amii RN, Abrahamian FM, Talan DA (2005). Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in community-acquired skin infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11: 928-930.

Pitout JDD, Church DL, Gregson DB, Chow BL, McCracken M, Mulvey M, Laupland KB (2007). Molecular epidemiology of CTXM-producing *Escherichia coli* in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-M-15-producing isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51: 1281-1286.

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). *Microbiology: Concepts and Applications.* McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

### Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage.

**Fees and Charges:** Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances.

**Copyright: © 2013, Academic Journals.**

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

**Disclaimer of Warranties**

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the JDOH, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.

# Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene

Table of Content: Volume 5 Number 3 March 2013

## ARTICLES

### Research Articles

- Accidental extrusion of sodium hypochlorite during endodontic treatment: A case report** 21  
Rupika Bither, Saurab Bither
- The effect of a self-bonding polymer on plaque and gingivitis over six months: A pilot study** 26  
Sang E. Park, Shigemi Ishikawa-Nagai, John D. Da Silva, Rachel B. Ramoni



## Case Report

# Accidental extrusion of sodium hypochlorite during endodontic treatment: A case report

Rupika Bither<sup>1</sup> and Saurab Bither<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Periodontology and Oral Implantology, Luxmi Bai Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Patiala, Punjab, 147001 India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Luxmi Bai Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Patiala, Punjab, 147001 India.

Accepted 11 March, 2013

**Root canal irrigants play a significant role in the elimination of microorganisms, removal of debris and smear layer from the root canals, and tissue dissolution. However, there remains a risk of extrusion of irrigants beyond the root canal system. Sodium hypochlorite is the most commonly used irrigant in endodontics due to its ability to dissolve organic soft tissue in the root canal system and its action as a powerful antimicrobial agent. However, if the sodium hypochlorite comes into contact with vital tissues, it can lead to complications ranging from minor discomfort to severe tissue damage. The present case report discusses the inadvertent effects and management of accidental extrusion of sodium hypochlorite solution into the periradicular tissues through lateral perforation of maxillary right second premolar in the course of root canal treatment.**

**Key words:** Sodium hypochlorite, irrigation, root canal treatment, extrusion.

## INTRODUCTION

Success of the root canal treatment relies on thorough debridement and shaping of root canals. It is well documented that instrumentation alone cannot clean all the internal surfaces of the root canal as residual pulpal tissue, bacteria, and dentin debris may persist in the irregularities of canal systems (Zehnder, 2006; Haapasalo et al., 2005). Irrigants and disinfectants have become an integral parts of root canal therapy (RCT). Ideal antibacterial irrigants should flush out dentin debris, dissolve organic tissue, disinfect the canal system, and provide lubrication during instrumentation, without irritating the surrounding tissues (Mehdipur et al., 2007). Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) has been one of the most popular and effective irrigant, because of its physicochemical and antibacterial properties. Though it has been

has been largely used to support the mechanical preparation of root canals, there are several reports about the complications of irrigation with NaOCl during root canal therapy (Pontes et al., 2008; Crincoli et al., 2008). This paper presents a case of inadvertent effects of accidental extrusion of NaOCl solution into the periradicular tissues through lateral perforation during instrumentation in the course of root canal treatment of maxillary right second premolar.

## CASE REPORT

A 65-year-old male patient was referred to us with the chief complaint of pain and swelling in right upper premolar region since 4 days. Clinical examination revealed erythematous, tender and




**Figure 1.** Initial clinical appearance of the lesion.



**Figure 2.** Periapical radiograph of offending tooth.

soft swelling extending from right canine eminence to right maxillary first molar region intraorally and a sharp incised wound in the buccal vestibule pertaining to maxillary right second premolar. There was evidence of pus discharge and pale white necrotic tissue from the incised wound (Figure 1). Extraorally, the swelling extended from right infraorbital margin to right corner of the mouth and laterally to the right malar region measuring 3.5x4 cm. The swelling was tender, soft and temperature was raised. A periapical radiograph revealed perforation of the mesial aspect of the middle third of root (Figure 2).

The history of presenting illness dates back to 10 days due to pain in the maxillary right second premolar region, the patient visited a dentist who made a clinical diagnosis of irreversible pulpitis and advised RCT under local anaesthesia. During the RCT, NaOCl used as irrigant extruded through lateral perforation of root into the periradicular area and buccal mucosa which presented as throbbing pain and swelling in the operated region. Antibiotics, analgesics and steroid therapy were prescribed by the dentist but the swelling increased. The dentist made a vestibular incision in order to drain out the abscess which was inadequately done. Medical history revealed that the patient was on medication for diabetes and hypertension. After 2 days of incision and drainage, the patient had



**Figure 3.** View after extraction of the involved tooth and debridement.



**Figure 4.** Extracted tooth.

no relief and there was pale white tissue protruding out of the wound. At this stage the patient was referred for further management.

Treatment plan was made which included extraction of maxillary right second premolar, exploration of the wound and meticulous debridement of grossly necrotic tissue (Figures 3 and 4). Thick purulent discharge was drained through the mucosal perforation and direct irrigation of affected site was performed with normal saline and 5% betadine to create an environment conducive to healing. Antibiotics and analgesics were prescribed for a period of 5 days. After 2 weeks, the extra- and intra oral swelling and symptoms had completely resolved. The patient was recalled after 2 months when clinical examination revealed uneventful and satisfactory healing (Figure 5).

## DISCUSSION

The major objective in endodontic therapy is to achieve complete chemomechanical debridement of the entire root canal system. This can be accomplished with biomechanical



**Figure 5.** Healed wound after 2 months.

instrumentation and chemical irrigation. An effective irrigating solution in root canal preparation aids in cleaning and shaping and neutralizes necrotic content which favours root canal enlargement for subsequent filling. NaOCl is advocated as an irrigant of choice in endodontic therapy mainly due to its efficacy for pulpal dissolution and antimicrobial activity. Estrela et al. (2002) reported that it exhibits a dynamic balance as shown by the reaction between organic tissue or microbes and NaOCl:



The peculiar characteristics of NaOCl observed are:

- (1) The high pH of NaOCl influenced by the release of hydroxyl ions, alters the integrity of the cytoplasmic membrane of microorganisms, causes irreversible enzymatic inhibition, biosynthetic alterations in cellular metabolism and phospholipid degradation by lipid peroxidation.
- (2) A strong dissolving action occurs in the presence of microorganisms and organic tissue. NaOCl degrades lipids and fatty acids resulting in the formation soap and glycerol by saponification reaction.
- (3) NaOCl neutralizes amino acids forming water and salt. Hypochlorous acid, a substance present in NaOCl solution, when in contact with organic tissue/microbes acts as solvent, releases chlorine that combines with the protein amino group and forms chloramines. This leads to amino acid degradation and hydrolysis and interferes in cell metabolism. Chlorine (strong oxidant) presents antimicrobial action by inhibiting bacterial enzymes leading to an irreversible oxidation of sulfhydryl group (SH group) of essential bacterial enzymes (Zairi and Lambrianidis, 2008).
- (4) Unique property to disrupt or to remove biofilms. It is distinctly more effective in rendering biofilm bacteria nonviable and in physically removing the biofilm than other commonly used irrigants (Clegg et al., 2006).

In contrast to these advantageous properties, NaOCl has been shown to exert manifold toxic effects. In direct contact with vital tissues, it entails acute inflammation, cellular destruction in all tissues except heavily keratinised epithelium, followed by necrosis of the tissues concerned (Mehdipur et al., 2007). NaOCl has a pH of approximately 11 to 12 and induces injury primarily oxidation of proteins. The cytotoxic effect of NaOCl on vital tissues results in haemolysis, inhibition of neutrophil migration, damage to endothelial and fibroblast cells and facial nerve demyelination (Gernhardt et al., 2004; Hulsman and Hahn, 2000). NaOCl promotes vascular permeability in blood vessels, probably as a result of damage to the vessels as well as the release of chemical mediators, such as histamine, from involved tissues. These properties cause immediate swelling and often profuse bleeding.

In this case, accidental extrusion of NaOCl solution into the periradicular tissues occurred through lateral perforation of maxillary right second premolar in the course of root canal treatment. This led to severe tissue damage associated with chemical necrosis over a period of days. Depending upon the nature and severity of the incident, management of the lesion included extraction of the offending tooth, exploration of the wound and meticulous debridement of grossly necrotic tissue. Wound debridement is required where there is extensive soft tissue necrosis, which if left, would lead to secondary infection. Appropriate antibiotic therapy is highly recommended in almost all such cases to prevent the risk of infection being forced from the root canal into the periradicular tissues and to avoid secondary infection, which can be easily promoted by the presence of necrotic tissue and osseous lesions.

### How to prevent NaOCl extrusion

- (1) Good access cavity design and ensure adequate coronal preparation.
- (2) A pre-operative periapical radiograph to assess angulation of the root canal system and correct handpiece positioning to prevent root perforation.
- (3) Use of side delivery needles that are specifically designed for endodontic purposes.
- (4) Calculate working length accurately and stop if bleeding continues, which might indicate a perforation.
- (5) Do not lock the syringe in the canal and keep the end of the syringe well short of the working length.
- (6) Use very low digital pressure but not the thumb, to trickle the irrigant into the canal and observe irrigant leaving the canal through the access cavity.

### How to recognize a NaOCl accident

- (1) Immediate severe pain (for 2 to 6 min).

- (2) Ballooning or immediate edema in adjacent soft tissue, because of perfusion to the loose connective tissue.
- (3) Extension of edema to a large site of the face such as cheeks, periorbital region, or lips.
- (4) Ecchymosis on skin or mucosa as a result of profuse interstitial bleeding.
- (5) Profuse intraoral bleeding directly from root canal.
- (6) Chlorine taste or smell, because of injected NaOCl to maxillary sinus.
- (7) Severe initial pain replaced with a constant discomfort or numbness, related to tissue destruction and distension.
- (8) Reversible or persistent anesthesia.
- (9) Possibility of secondary infection or spreading of former infection.

### How to treat a NaOCl accident

- (1) Remain calm and inform the patient about the cause and nature of the complication.
- (2) Immediately irrigate with normal saline to decrease the soft-tissue irritation by diluting the NaOCl.
- (3) Let the bleeding response continue as it helps to flush the irritant out of the tissues.
- (4) Recommend ice bag compresses for 24 h (15 min intervals) to minimize swelling.
- (5) Recommend warm, moist compresses after 24 h (15 min intervals).
- (6) Recommend rinsing with normal saline for 1 week to improve circulation to the affected area.
- (7) For pain control: (a) initial control of acute pain could be achieved with anesthetic nerve block; (b) acetaminophen-based narcotic analgesics, if not contraindicated, for 3 to 7 days (NSAID analgesic should be avoided to decrease the amount of bleeding into the soft tissues); (c) prophylactic antibiotic coverage for 7 to 10 days to prevent secondary infection or spreading of the present infection; (d) steroid therapy with methylprednisolone for 2 to 3 days to control inflammatory reaction; (e) daily contact to monitor recovery; (f) reassure the patient about the lengthy resolution of the inflammatory reaction; (g) provide the patient with both verbal and written home care instructions; (h) monitor the patient for pain control, secondary infection, and reassurance.

### Conclusion

NaOCl is an effective antibacterial agent but can be highly irritating when it comes in contact with vital tissue.

Extrusion of NaOCl beyond the root canal can occur when there is iatrogenic widening of the apical foramen, lateral perforation, or wedging of the irrigating needle.

This report demonstrates destructive effect of concentrated NaOCl solution on soft tissues following inadvertent extrusion through lateral perforation of root canal, and subsequent management of the case. Injudicious use of the irrigant should be avoided to prevent the NaOCl accidents. If a perforation or open apex exists, then great care should be exercised to prevent a NaOCl accident or an alternative irrigation solution should be considered. The result of accidental NaOCl extrusion is unpredictable with no set level in terms of concentration and volume that determine the severity of patient symptoms. The early recognition and appropriate management of these complications by the dental practitioner is essential in case an extrusion occurs to ensure best clinical practice.

### REFERENCES

- Clegg MS, Vertucci FJ, Walker C, Belanger M, Britto LR (2006). The effect of exposure to irrigant solutions on apical dentin biofilms *in vitro*. *J. Endod.* 32(5):434-437.
- Crincoli V, Scivetti M, Bisceglie MBD, Pilolli GP, Favia G (2008). Unusual case of adverse reaction in the use of sodium hypochlorite during endodontic treatment: A case report. *Quintessence Int.* 39(2): e70-73.
- Estrela C, Estrela CR, Barbin EL, Spano JC, Marchesan MA, Pecora JD (2002). Mechanism of action of sodium hypochlorite. *Braz. Dent. J.* 13(2):113-117.
- Gernhardt CR, Eppendorf K, Kozlowski A, Brandt M (2004). Toxicity of concentrated sodium hypochlorite used as an endodontic irrigant. *Int. Endod. J.* 37(4):272-280.
- Haapasalo M, Endal U, Zandi H, Coil JM (2005). Eradication of endodontic infection by instrumentation and irrigation solutions. *Endod. Topics* 10(1):77-102.
- Hulsmann M, Hahn W (2000). Complications during root canal irrigation—literature review and case reports. *Int. Endod. J.* 33(3):186-193.
- Mehdipur O, Kleier J, Averbach RE (2007). Anatomy of Sodium Hypochlorite Accidents. *Compend. Contin. Educ. Dent.* 28(10):544-550.
- Pontes F, Pontes H, Adachi P, Rodini C, Almeida D, Pinto D Jr (2008). Gingival and bone necrosis caused by accidental sodium hypochlorite injection instead of anaesthetic solution. *Int. Endod. J.* 41(3):267-270.
- Zairi A, Lambrianidis T (2008). Accidental extrusion of sodium hypochlorite into the maxillary sinus. *Quintessence Int.* 39:745-748.
- Zehnder M (2006). Root canal irrigants. *J. Endod.* 32:389-398.

*Full Length Research Paper*

## The effect of a self-bonding polymer on plaque and gingivitis over six months: A pilot study

Sang E. Park<sup>1\*</sup>, Shigemi Ishikawa-Nagai<sup>1</sup>, John D. Da Silva<sup>1</sup> and Rachel B. Ramoni<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Restorative Dentistry and Biomaterials Sciences, Harvard School of Dental Medicine, 188 Longwood Ave., Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Center for Biomedical Informatics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Accepted 6 March, 2013

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of self-bonding polymer (SBP) (KISSCARE® Concentrated Gel, KISS-COTE Inc., Tampa, FL, USA) in relation to plaque attachment and subsequent gingival inflammation. This randomized blinded clinical study followed a split-mouth design and examined prospectively 15 participants over a 6-month period. The average plaque index (PI) and average gingival index (GI) were measured for the control and SBP groups at baseline (week 0) and again after 2, 4, 12, and 24 weeks. Within the SBP groups, mean PI and GI at baseline, respectively, were compared to the same measures at the follow-up visits. Additionally, the control and SBP groups were compared in terms of the change in PI and GI from baseline to each of the follow-up points. For most part, the average PI and the average GI improved in both the control and SBP groups. The improvement in GI was statistically significant in both groups at weeks 2 and 4, while the improvement in PI was statistically significant at all follow-up visits. Among the areas to which polymer was applied, the average PI was statistically significantly lower than baseline at all follow-up visits. The SBP group showed significantly greater decrease in GI values when compared with the control group at week 2 ( $P < 0.005$ ). The overall potential benefit of SBP was too small to be clinically substantial as it may be compounded by an increase in subjects' attention to their oral hygiene during the clinical study.

**Key words:** Self-bonding polymer, gingival index, plaque index.

### INTRODUCTION

Application of self-bonding polymers (SBP) has shown to be an effective method of surface coating in reducing staining of restorative resins (Park et al., 2006, 2008). The efficacy of SBP application was especially pronounced in the absence of brushing procedures. In addition to color stability, microbial attachment is unquestionably one of the most important clinical requirements of restorative materials. It plays a significant role in achieving ultimate clinical success in terms of preventing caries and periodontal disease.

The oral flora harbors a wide range of bacterial species responsible for a multitude of infections in the oral cavity. In cases of caries and periodontal disease, the initial

attachment of critical microorganisms to the tooth surface is an essential prerequisite for infection. Complex bacterial compositions comprise dental plaque, which accumulates readily on the tooth surface. Dental plaque formation is initiated by the enamel salivary pellicle followed by adherence of bacteria to the pellicle. Colonization of critical indigenous species on tooth surface is an essential step in the occurrence and development of caries and periodontal disease (Rosan and Lamont, 2000; Liljemark and Bloomquist, 1996; Marsh and Bradshaw, 1995). Despite numerous efforts in promoting preventive dental care, dental caries is still the most common disease of children in the United States

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [sang\\_park@hsdm.harvard.edu](mailto:sang_park@hsdm.harvard.edu). Tel: 617-432-2374. Fax: 617-432-3881.

(Roackwille, 2000). Recurrent caries is the leading cause of short and long-term failure of dental restorations. Periodontal diseases have been associated with systemic diseases providing evidence of relationship between oral and systemic systems (Roackwille, 2000; Grossi and Genco, 1998). Research supports the link between specific microbial compositions of supra- and subgingival plaque and the occurrence of periodontitis (Ximenez-Fyvie et al., 2000a, b; Socransky et al., 1993; L oe et al., 1965). These oral infections can have profound systemic and local effects if left untreated.

In a previous study, the efficacy of SBP in reducing extrinsic stains was evaluated in an *in vitro* model (Park et al., 2006). This research showed that the SBP group exhibited significantly less discoloration as compared to both the control and sealer groups ( $P < 0.05$ ). Application of SBP has shown to be a highly effective method of surface-coating to reduce staining of restorative resins, especially in groups with poor oral hygiene procedures (Park et al., 2006). Therefore, it is also important to verify the effect that the surface coating material will have on plaque attachment and gingival health when it is applied.

Studies have indicated that color stability of resin restorations is influenced by different finishing treatments of the material surfaces (Gross and Moser, 1977; Shintani et al., 1985; Yap et al., 1997). The surface finishing affects the overall surface roughness attributing to staining and plaque accumulation, which could result in discoloration and secondary caries requiring replacement of restorations (Jefferies, 1998; Weitman and Eames, 1975). Therefore, it is important to elucidate the effect of SBP application in accumulation of dental plaque. This SBP, which is made of a pure poly(dimethylsiloxane), provides a mono-molecular layer of an inert protective coating that could potentially discourage microbial attachment and growth. It is an extremely thin coating, which changes the surface chemistry of the surface to which it is applied, but provides no mechanical protection (Park et al., 2006, 2008).

There is a need in restorative dentistry for a novel, conservative treatment approach to develop advanced biomaterials and restorative techniques. An ideal restorative material should satisfy color stability through preventing discoloration and help reduce the adhesion of dental plaque to teeth, periodontium, and restorative materials. This study hypothesized that understanding the characteristics of the SBP and its application may help reduce the adhesion of plaque on tooth surfaces. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of a self-bonding polymer on plaque attachment and subsequent gingival inflammation during the course of six months.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study population

A total of seventeen human subjects were recruited, but two participants failed to follow through with recall visits and were

eliminated from the clinical pilot study. Fifteen (7 women) subjects were included in the final analysis. Recruitment of subjects took place at the Harvard School of Dental Medicine, Faculty Group Practice. Exclusion criteria included subjects with complete edentulism in any arch. Existing restorative restorations, such as amalgams, resins, crowns, and bridges, were not exclusion criteria. All included subjects ranged from 20 to 46 years of age and reported no significant medical history, such as heart disease, diabetes, immune deficiencies, etc. None of the female subjects was pregnant for the duration of the study. There were no specific inclusion criteria to subject selection related to gender, ethnic background, medication, smoking, etc., however, 3 patients reported a history of smoking. Due to the small sample size, this variable was not calculated in the pilot study. All subjects were able to tolerate dental treatment and were competent to provide basic self-care, including oral hygiene practices. The project was explained to all subjects, and each person signed an informed consent form prior to enrollment. The project was approved by the Harvard Medical School Committee on Human Subjects.

### Application of SBP

Subjects received an oral prophylaxis (cleaning and prophylaxis), after which the KISSCARE<sup>®</sup> Concentrated Gel (KISS-COTE Inc., Tampa, FL, USA) was applied according to manufacturer's instructions in one quadrant of the maxillary arch and another quadrant of the mandibular arch on the opposite side. The test quadrants were randomly selected. The remaining two quadrants served as controls. This split-mouth design was chosen to control for individual- and time-dependent factors. For each quadrant, 1 to 2 drops of the KISSCARE<sup>®</sup> Concentrated Gel was applied on a prophyl cup using a slow-speed hand piece to spread the material completely over the tooth surfaces. Any excess material was wiped off with gauze. Only light pressure was required to assure that the surface was thoroughly wetted with the material. One operator was responsible for the application of the material for the study. Subjects were given oral hygiene instructions and advised to brush their teeth twice daily (morning and evening) for 2 min with an American Dental Association approved dentifrice and soft-bristled toothbrush.

### Measurements

Baseline measurements of plaque index (PI) and gingival index (GI) scores were made at the day of application. The PI and GI were measured again at 2, 4, 12, and 24 weeks. One operator was responsible for the measurements for consistency. The PI was measured using the Turesky Plaque Index System to access the amount of plaque on the facial and lingual surfaces of all of the teeth after using a disclosing agent (Turesky et al., 1970; Mandel, 1974). For each split-mouth treatment area, an average PI score was calculated.

For the measurement of GI, four gingival units (the distofacial papilla, facial margin, mesiofacial papilla and entire lingual gingival margin) were scored according to the Loe-Silness Gingival Index System (L oe and Silness, 1963; L oe, 1967). All of the scores were totaled and divided by the number of teeth examined, providing the average GI score per split-mouth treatment area. The GI can be used to differentiate severity of clinical gingivitis (0.1 to 1.0: mild gingivitis, 1.1 to 2.0: moderate gingivitis, and 2.1 to 3.0: severe gingivitis) (L oe, 1967).

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for the study sample. The PI and GI were measured for the treatment and control split-mouth




Figure 1. Mean average gingival index (GI) by week and treatment.




Figure 2. Mean average plaque index (PI) by week and treatment.

treatment areas at baseline (week 0) and follow up visits at 2, 4, 12, and 24 weeks. Plots of the mean GI and PI by group were constructed. To determine the effect of treatment on change on the GI and the PI, respectively, two sets of comparisons were performed. First, within category of treatment, each follow-up visit measure was compared to the baseline measure using a paired t-test. Second, the difference in each index at each of the follow-up visits as compared to baseline was calculated, and these differences were compared between the SBP split-mouth halves and the control split-mouth halves using a paired t-test.

All analyses were conducted in Stata 8.2 (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas), and in all instances, alpha was set to 0.05.

## RESULTS

The plots of average GI and PI values over time by groups are shown in Figures 1 and 2. In the SBP groups, the baseline average GI was 1.19, and the average PI was 2.73. In the control group, the baseline average GI was 1.04, and the baseline average PI was 2.69. The baseline and follow-up GI and PI measures, accounting for loss to follow-up, are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. For most part, both the control and SBP areas

**Table 1.** Gingival index (GI) at week 0 and follow-up, by treatment.

Week	SBP			Control		
	Week 0	GI	P*	Week 0	GI	P*
2	1.17	0.74	0.0005	1.01	0.73	0.003
4	1.12	0.78	0.07	0.96	0.70	0.07
12	1.07	1.15	0.70	0.91	1.04	0.49
24	1.01	0.92	0.62	0.86	0.84	0.89

\*Paired t-test.

**Table 2.** Plaque index (PI) at week 0 and follow-up, by treatment.

Week	SBP			Control		
	Week 0	PI	P*	Week 0	PI	P*
2	2.70	2.30	0.03	2.66	2.05	0.001
4	2.72	1.76	0.0008	2.68	1.80	0.002
12	2.75	1.56	0.0004	2.72	1.59	0.001
24	2.46	1.42	0.0003	2.57	1.46	<0.0001

\*Paired t-test.

experienced improvements in average GI and PI values. Among the areas to which polymer was applied, the average PI was statistically significantly lower than baseline at all follow-up visits. For the SBP group, average GI was statistically significantly lower than baseline at week 2, while the improvements at weeks 4 and 24 were not statistically significant. At week 12, the GI was higher than at baseline, though this difference was not statistically significant.

For each individual, the differences between the baseline measures and those at each follow-up visits were calculated. The summary statistics of these differences are shown in Table 3. These statistics demonstrate improvement in both the PI and GI regardless of treatment group. The exception was the difference between week 12 and baseline GI, where both the SBP and control groups experienced an increase in the average GI values relative to the baseline values of 0.074 in the SBP group and 0.14 in the control group; these values were not statistically significantly different (paired t-test P-value=0.41). The SBP and control groups demonstrated a statistically significant difference only at week 2. The control group had a greater reduction in PI from baseline (-0.60) than the SBP group (-0.39) (P-value=0.02, paired t-test). By contrast, the control group had a smaller reduction in GI values from baseline (-0.29) than did the SBP group (-0.43) (P=0.004, paired t-test).

## DISCUSSION

The results of the clinical pilot study showed a statistically significant decrease in PI scores for both the control

group and the SBP group throughout the visits. These observations could be in accordance with the findings of other studies stating that frequent follow up visits and repeated oral hygiene instructions alone could improve the oral hygiene in patients without further interventions. A study measuring the effect of different treatment modalities in achieving a healthy microflora through differential counts indicated that improved oral hygiene was achieved from monthly recalls, with or without treatment (Zee et al., 2006). In another study, gingival health at baseline and dental knowledge were considered significant predictors of good oral health (Hugoson et al., 2007). Frequency of the follow up visits was more critical than any treatment intervention including professional tooth cleaning for the clinical result. Needleman et al. (2005) concluded that repeated oral hygiene instructions might have a similar effect as professional mechanical plaque removal.

At week 2, the control group experienced a small, but statistically significantly greater decrease in PI than the SBP group. Despite extremely careful effort to separate the treatment groups during clinical application, it is possible that excess polymer could have spread to the control sites inadvertently or the material could have remained intra-orally and could have adhered to the remaining surfaces through saliva. Under these circumstances, the effect of the SBP may be indistinguishable from the unaffected areas. Another interpretation of the result may be that general improved oral hygiene could have been attributed to the application of SBP in all tooth surfaces in both study groups, known as the Hawthorne effect (Kohli et al., 2009).

The GI score exhibited a more complex pattern over time than the PI score. Both the control and SBP groups experienced a statistically significant improvement in average GI score at week 2, with results that bordered on statistical significance at week 4. As opposed to the effect seen in the PI, the polymer treated areas showed a statistically significant larger improvement in GI than did the control. However, at the 12th week visit, the GI scores slightly exceeded the baseline levels. This worsening was not statistically significant, and improvement relative to baseline was again seen at the 24th week visit. One reason for the worsening could have been the effect of a longer lapse in oral hygiene instructions during this period. Another point of interest is that the 12 week follow-up visits took place around and immediately after the winter holiday season, which has been linked to increased stress level (Phillips et al., 2004). Studies have shown that stress related to socioeconomic and various other conditions could pose as potential risk indicators for poor periodontal conditions and recommend intervention measures including stress reduction for preventing and treating periodontal disease (Spalj et al., 2008; Akhter et al., 2005). Johannsen et al. (2006) showed that women with stress-related depression and exhaustion had poor oral health leading to compromised periodontal health as compared to the control group in measures of more plaque



**Table 3.** Difference between week 0 and follow-up plaque (PI) and gingival (GI) indices, by treatment .

Week	PI Difference from week 0		P*	GI Difference from week 0		P*
	SBP	Control		SBP	Control	
2	-0.39	-0.60	0.02	-0.43	-0.29	0.004
4	-0.96	-0.88	0.30	-0.34	-0.26	0.44
12	-1.17	-1.13	0.84	0.074	0.14	0.41
24	-1.04	-1.11	0.37	-0.093	-0.02	0.44

\*Paired t-test.

accumulation, GI and increased levels of IL-6 and cortisol in gingival crevicular fluid (Johannsen et al., 2006).

A limitation of this study is the loss to follow-up that occurred. The resultant reduction in sample size may have impacted the statistical power to make comparisons at the later follow-up visits. Despite this limitation, in the short term, these results demonstrate that the application of the polymer may even improve the PI and GI. This, in combination with the previous *in vitro* findings of reduced staining with polymer treatment, nominates the approach as a potentially useful complement to the existing treatment approaches for staining and plaque accumulation. The SBP could possibly reduce plaque accumulation, although the beneficial effect may be compounded by increased patient attention to oral hygiene.

The duration of the coating still needs to be explored to determine whether multiple applications are required and the optimal frequency of application. The time over which the treatment might continue to have a beneficial effect is not clearly defined. The wear resistance affected by daily tooth brushing with a dentifrice is another factor that needs to be explored further. As observed in our *in vitro* study, application of SBP was an effective method of surface coating in reducing staining of restorative resins, especially in groups without brushing procedures (Park et al., 2006). The overall efficacy of SBP could be more pronounced in patient population with poor oral hygiene.

To better understand the effect on PI, further investigations of the effect of the polymer on microbial adherence are required. Microbial adherence is an essential step in the formation of dental plaque leading to colonization and pathogenesis (Marsh, 2003). The early colonizers of the tooth surface attach through non-specific physiochemical interactions between microorganisms and host surface and the later colonizers bind to the existing organisms by adhesin-receptor mechanisms (Marsh, 2003; Busscher and van der Mei, 1997). Glycoproteins, mucins, and enzymes found in saliva are present in the pellicle covering the tooth surface and can be recognized by the early colonizers. The salivary components for specific binding by bacteria have been studied, such as acidic proline-rich proteins as the receptor for *Streptococcus gordonii* (Kolenbrander and London, 1993).

The patient population in the current pilot study did not have any significant medical complications leading to

decreased salivary flow. Research has shown that decreased salivary flow is an important risk factor for root caries for the elderly (Almståhl et al., 1999). Application of the SBP in patients suffering from xerostomia, such as in Sjögren's syndrome, irradiation therapy, and medication use for various medical conditions, may have a more profound benefit. The effect of saliva, pH, and the presence of a multitude of microorganisms that coexist within the oral environment and their possible effects on plaque attachment should be considered in future studies.

## Conclusion

The SBP and control groups both displayed improvements in PI and GI scores. The potential clinical significance of self-bonding polymer on plaque and gingival health was marginal in this clinical study. The long-term benefit for its use as a complement to the existing treatment for preventing staining and plaque accumulation need to be further investigated.

## REFERENCES

- Akhter R, Hannan MA, Okhubo R, Morita M (2005). Relationship between stress factor and periodontal disease in a rural area population in Japan. *Eur. J. Med. Res.* 10(8):352-357.
- Almståhl A, Kroneld U, Tarkowski A, Wikström M (1999). Oral microbial flora in Sjögren's syndrome. *J. Rheumatol.* 26(1):110-114.
- Busscher HJ, van der Mei HC (1997). Physico-chemical interactions in initial microbial adhesion and relevance for biofilm formation. *Adv. Dent. Res.* 11(1):24-32.
- Gross MD, Moser JB (1977). A colorimetric study of coffee and tea staining of four composite resins. *J. Oral Rehabil.* 4:311-322.
- Grossi SG, Genco RJ (1998). Periodontal disease and diabetes mellitus: A two-way relationship. *Ann. Periodontol.* 3(1):51-61.
- Hugoson A, Lundgren D, Asklöw B, Borgklint G (2007). Effect of three different dental health preventive programmes on young adult individuals: A randomized, blinded, parallel group, controlled evaluation of oral hygiene behaviour on plaque and gingivitis. *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 34(5):407-415.
- Jefferies SR (1998). The art and science of abrasive finishing and polishing in restorative dentistry. *Dent. Clin. North Am.* 42:613-627.
- Johannsen A, Rylander G, Söder B, Asberg M (2006). Dental plaque, gingival inflammation, and elevated levels of interleukin-6 and cortisol in gingival crevicular fluid from women with stress-related depression and exhaustion. *J. Periodontol.* 77(8):1403-1409.
- Kohli E, Ptak J, Smith R, Taylor E, Talbot EA, Kirkland KB (2009). Variability in the Hawthorne effect with regard to hand hygiene

- performance in high- and low-performing inpatient care units. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 30(3):222-225.
- Kolenbrander PE, London J (1993). Adhere today, here tomorrow: Oral bacterial adherence. *J. Bacteriol.* 175(11):3247-3252.
- Liljemark WF, Bloomquist C (1996). Human oral microbial ecology and dental caries and periodontal diseases. *Crit. Rev. Oral Bio. Med.* 7(2):180-198.
- Löe H, Silness J (1963). Periodontal disease in pregnancy. I. Prevalence and severity. *Acta Odontol. Scand.* 21:533-551.
- Löe H, Theilade E, Jensen SB (1965). Experimental gingivitis in man. *J. Periodontol.* 36:177-187.
- Löe H (1967). The gingival index, the plaque index and the retention index systems. *J. Periodontol.* 38(6):610-616.
- Mandel ID (1974). Indices for measurement of soft accumulations in clinical studies of oral hygiene and periodontal disease. *J. Periodont. Res.* 9(Suppl 14):106-110.
- Marsh PD, Bradshaw DJ (1995). Dental Plaque as a biofilm. *J. Ind. Microbiol.* 15(3):169-175.
- Marsh PD (2003). Are dental diseases examples of ecological catastrophes? *Microbiology* 149:279-94.
- Needleman I, Suvan J, Moles DR, Pimlott J (2005). A systematic review of professional mechanical plaque removal for prevention of periodontal diseases. *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 32(Suppl 6):229-282.
- Park SE, Weber HP, Ishikawa-Nagai S (2006). Self-bonding Polymers as Surface-coatings of Restorative Resins to Prevent Staining. *J. Clin. Dent.* 17(5):134-137.
- Park SE, Blissett RD, Susarla SM, Weber HP (2008). *Candida albicans* adherence to surface modified denture resin surfaces. *J. Prosthodont.* 17(5):365-369.
- Phillips DP, Jarvinen JR, Abramson IS, Phillips RR (2004). Cardiac mortality is higher around Christmas and New Year's than at any other time: the holidays as a risk factor for death. *Circulation* 110(25):3744-3745.
- Plancak D, Bozic D, Kasaj A, Willershausen B, Jelusic D (2008). Periodontal conditions and oral hygiene in rural population of post-war Vukovar region, Croatia in correlation to stress. *Eur. J. Med. Res.* 13(3):100-106.
- Roackwille MD (2000). Oral Health in America: A Report of the Surgeon General. US Dept of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health.
- Rosan B, Lamont RJ (2000). Dental plaque formation. *Microbes Infect.* 2(13):1599-1607.
- Shintani H, Satou J, Satou N, Hayashihara H, Inoue T (1985). Effects of various finishing methods on staining and accumulation of *Streptococcus mutans* HS-6 on composite resins. *Dent. Mater.* 1(6):225-227.
- Socransky SS, Haffajee AD, Cugini MA, Smith C, Kent Jr. RL (1993). Microbial complexes in subgingival plaque. *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 25(2):134-144.
- Turesky S, Gilmore ND, Lickman I (1970). Reduced plaque formation by the chloromethyl analogue of vitamin C. *J. Periodontol.* 41:41-43.
- Weitman RT, Eames WB (1975). Plaque accumulation on composite surfaces after various finishing procedures. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.* 91(1):101-106.
- Ximenez-Fyvie LA, Haffajee AD, Socransky SS (2000a). Comparison of the microbiota of supra- and subgingival plaque in health and periodontitis. *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 27(9):648-657.
- Ximenez-Fyvie LA, Haffajee AD, Socransky SS (2000b). Microbial composition of supra- and subgingival plaque in subjects with adult periodontitis. *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 27(10):722-732.
- Yap A, Lye KW, Sau CW (1997). Surface characteristics of tooth-colored restoratives polished utilizing different polishing systems. *Oper. Dent.* 22: 260-265.
- Zee KY, Lee DH, Corbet EF (2006). Repeated oral hygiene instructions alone, or in combination with metronidazole dental gel with or without subgingival scaling in adult periodontitis patients: a one-year clinical study. *J. Int. Acad. Periodontol.* 8(4):125-135.

## **UPCOMING CONFERENCES**

***19th International Symposium on Dental Hygiene, Cape Town, South Africa, 14 Aug 2013***



***American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery,  
September 29, 2013, Canada***



## Conferences and Advert

### **April 2013**

The American Academy of Oral Medicine, Integrating Medicine and Dentistry  
San Antonio, Texas from April 23-27, 2013

### **August 2013**

19th International Symposium on Dental Hygiene, Cape Town, South Africa, 14 Aug  
2013

### **September 2013**

American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, September 29, 2013,  
Canada

# Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*
- *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*
- *Clinical Reviews and Opinions*
- *Journal of AIDS and HIV Research*
- *Journal of Cell Biology and Genetics*
- *Medical Practice and Reviews*

**academicJournals**